

W



CEU SUMMER UNIVERSITY
Budapest College

August 18-29, 1997

LAW, PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND
SOCIAL CHANGE

Professor Alexander Blankenagel
Professor Denis Galligan
Professor Stevan Lilic
Professor Sanford Levinson
Professor András Sajó

TABLE OF CONTENTS

THE SIGMA PROGRAMME.....	1
FOREWORD.....	2
INTRODUCTION	7
Administrative Procedures.....	8
Supervision of the Administration	8
Behind the Studies.....	9
PART I: ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES AND THE SUPERVISION OF THE ADMINISTRATION IN HUNGARY, POLAND, BULGARIA, ESTONIA AND ALBANIA.....	10
1. Introduction.....	10
1.1. The Objectives Of Administrative Law And Procedure	10
1.2. Administrative Law And Procedure In Central And Eastern Europe	13
1.3. The Object And Scope Of This Report	16
1.4. Procedures For Law-Making	17
1.5. Substance And Procedure	18
1.6. Third Parties And Interest Groups	19
2. Hungary	19
2.1. The Legal Background	19
2.2. Constitutional Factors	20
2.3. Overview Of Administrative Procedures	20
2.4. Scope Of Administrative Acts	21
2.5. Background Principles	23
2.6. The Course Of An Administrative Action.....	24
2.7. Openness And Transparency	25
2.8. Participation: Notice, Disclosure, And Hearing	27
2.9. Legal Representation.....	29
2.10. Loss Of Independence And Bias.....	29
2.11. Constraints On The Decision Process	30
2.12. Giving Reasons	31
2.13. Recourse: Reconsideration, Appeal, Review.....	31
2.14. Conclusions And Matters For Consideration	34
3. Poland	35
3.1. Poland's Legal Tradition.....	35
3.2. The Administrative Law Background.....	35
3.3. Constitutional Principles	35
3.4. Overview Of Administrative Procedures	36
3.5. Background Principles	36
3.6. Scope Of Administrative Action.....	37
3.7. The Course Of An Administrative Process	38
3.8. Participation: Notice, Disclosure, And Hearing	39
3.9. Legal Representation.....	40
3.10. Bias And Impartiality	41
3.11. Constraints On The Decision Process	41

1.2. A Note On Terminology.....	72
2. Forms And Institutions Of Supervision.....	74
2.1. Judicial Appeal And Review	74
2.2. The Role Of The Prosecutor.....	78
2.3. Appeal Or Review On The Merits	78
2.4. Ombudsmen Devices.....	81
2.5. Internal Complaints Procedures	84
2.6. Inspectorates And Similar Bodies Exercising General Supervision	85
2.7. Parliamentary Supervision.....	86
2.8. The Objects And Importance Of Supervision.....	87

B. FORMS OF SUPERVISION IN HUNGARY, POLAND, BULGARIA, ESTONIA, AND ALBANIA 88

1. Introduction.....	88
2. Objectives And Methods	89
3. Hungary	90
3.1. Overview	90
3.2. Judicial Review.....	91
3.3. Appeal On The Merits.....	92
3.4. The Prosecutor	93
3.5. Internal Complaints Procedures.....	94
3.6. Ombudsmen.....	95
3.7. Inspectorates, Commissions, And Other Forms Of Special Supervision.....	97
3.8. Parliamentary Supervision.....	97
3.9. Conclusions	98
3.10. Matters To Consider.....	99
4. Poland.....	99
4.1. Overview	99
4.2. Judicial Review.....	100
4.3. Appeal On The Merits.....	103
4.4. The Prosecutor	103
4.5. Ombudsman: The Commissioner For Citizens' Rights.....	104
4.6. Inspectorates, Commissions, And Forms Of Special Supervision	105
4.7. Parliamentary Supervision.....	106
4.8. Conclusions	107
5. Bulgaria.....	108
5.1. Overview	108
5.2. Judicial Review Of Administrative Action	108
5.3. The Prosecutor	111
5.4. Appeal On The Merits.....	112
5.5. Ombudsmen And Other Complaints Procedures.....	113
5.6. Parliamentary Supervision.....	113
5.7. Conclusions	114
6. Estonia	115
6.1. Overview	115
6.2. Judicial Review.....	116
6.3. The Legal Chancellor.....	117
6.4. Appeal To A Court On The Merits Of Administrative Actions	118
6.5. Ombudsman.....	119
6.6. Supervision By Administrative Bodies.....	119
6.7. State Chancellery	120

3.12. Giving Reasons	42
3.13. Complaints And Requests	42
3.14. Administrative Appeals	43
3.15. Conclusions And Matters For Consideration	44
4. Bulgaria.....	45
4.1. Bulgaria's Legal Tradition	45
4.2. The Administrative Law Background	46
4.3. Constitutional Principles	46
4.4. Overview Of Administrative Procedures	47
4.5. Scope Of Application Of The APA	48
4.6. Background Principles	49
4.7. The Course Of An Administrative Action.....	49
4.8. Participation: Notice, Disclosure, And Hearing	50
4.9. Legal Representation.....	51
4.10. Impartiality And Lack Of Bias	51
4.11. Constraints On The Decision Process	52
4.12. Giving Reasons	52
4.13. Administrative Appeals.....	53
4.14. Conclusion.....	55
5. Estonia	56
5.1. Background To Administrative Law.....	56
5.2. The Constitutional Framework	57
5.3. Overview Of Administrative Procedures	58
5.4. The Present State Of Administrative Procedures.....	59
5.5. The Proposed Administrative Procedure Act	59
5.6. Subordinate Legislative Acts.....	60
5.7. Administrative Appeals.....	60
5.8. Conclusions And Matter For Consideration.....	61
6. Albania.....	62
6.1. The Administrative Law Background.....	62
6.2. Constitutional Factors	63
6.3. Administrative Procedures	64
6.4. Conclusions	66
7. Comparison And Summary	66
7.1. Similarities Amongst The Codes Of Procedure.....	66
7.2. Neglect Of Normative Acts	67
7.3. Adequacy Of The General Structure Of The Codes.....	67
7.4. Protection Of Citizens	67
7.5. Access To Information	68
7.6. Exemptions From Disclosure	68
7.7. Openness And Transparency	68
7.8. Due Process And Fair Procedures.....	68
7.9. Regulating Administrative Discretion.....	69
7.10. Forms Of Recourse	69
PART II: JUDICIAL AND NON-JUDICIAL SUPERVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESSES..	71
A. FORMS AND OBJECTIVES OF SUPERVISION.....	71
1. Introduction.....	71
1.1. Object Of Part II.....	71

1.2. A Note On Terminology.....	72
2. Forms And Institutions Of Supervision.....	74
2.1. Judicial Appeal And Review	74
2.2. The Role Of The Prosecutor.....	78
2.3. Appeal Or Review On The Merits.....	78
2.4. Ombudsmen Devices.....	81
2.5. Internal Complaints Procedures.....	84
2.6. Inspectorates And Similar Bodies Exercising General Supervision.....	85
2.7. Parliamentary Supervision.....	86
2.8. The Objects And Importance Of Supervision.....	87
B. FORMS OF SUPERVISION IN HUNGARY, POLAND, BULGARIA, ESTONIA, AND ALBANIA	88
1. Introduction.....	88
2. Objectives And Methods	89
3. Hungary	90
3.1. Overview	90
3.2. Judicial Review.....	91
3.3. Appeal On The Merits.....	92
3.4. The Prosecutor	93
3.5. Internal Complaints Procedures.....	94
3.6. Ombudsmen.....	95
3.7. Inspectorates, Commissions, And Other Forms Of Special Supervision.....	97
3.8. Parliamentary Supervision.....	97
3.9. Conclusions	98
3.10. Matters To Consider.....	99
4. Poland.....	99
4.1. Overview	99
4.2. Judicial Review.....	100
4.3. Appeal On The Merits.....	103
4.4. The Prosecutor	103
4.5. Ombudsman: The Commissioner For Citizens' Rights.....	104
4.6. Inspectorates, Commissions, And Forms Of Special Supervision	105
4.7. Parliamentary Supervision.....	106
4.8. Conclusions	107
5. Bulgaria.....	108
5.1. Overview	108
5.2. Judicial Review Of Administrative Action	108
5.3. The Prosecutor	111
5.4. Appeal On The Merits.....	112
5.5. Ombudsmen And Other Complaints Procedures.....	113
5.6. Parliamentary Supervision.....	113
5.7. Conclusions	114
6. Estonia	115
6.1. Overview	115
6.2. Judicial Review.....	116
6.3. The Legal Chancellor.....	117
6.4. Appeal To A Court On The Merits Of Administrative Actions	118
6.5. Ombudsman.....	119
6.6. Supervision By Administrative Bodies.....	119
6.7. State Chancellery	120

6.8. Parliamentary Supervision.....	121
6.9. Conclusions And Matters For Consideration	121
7. Albania.....	122
7.1. Overview	122
7.2. Judicial Review.....	123
7.3. The Prosecutor	125
7.4. Ombudsman.....	125
7.5. Inspectorates And Other Forms Of General Supervision.....	126
7.6. Parliamentary Supervision.....	126
7.7. Conclusions	126
8. Comparison And Evaluation.....	127
8.1 Introduction	127
8.2. Judicial Review.....	128
8.3. The Prosecutor	129
8.4. Review Of The Merits Of Administrative Action	129
8.5. The Place Of The Ombudsman.....	130
8.6. Parliament And Committees Of Parliament	130

(D. GALLIGAN)